arXiv:1511.04302v2 [math.NT] 25 Nov 2015

The stable property of Newton slopes for general Witt towers

Xiang Li

Abstract

We consider the Newton polygon of general Artin-Schrier-Witt tower of curves defined by $f(x) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$ with bounded degree at all coordinates, and generalise the result produced by Davis, D.Wan and L.Xiao[3] in 2013. In fact, we proved that the slopes of Newton polygon are unions of arithmetic progressions if the conductor is large enough, and when the conductor increase by 1, the slopes simply scale by $\frac{1}{p}$.

1 Introduction

Let p be a rational prime number, $q = p^a$, where $a > 0$ is an integer. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the field of q elements. For every $i \geq 0$, let $f_i(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{d_i} a_{iu}x^u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a polynomial. Assume that $d_0 > 0$, and if $d_i > 0$, then $a_{i,d_i} \neq 0$. Consider the ring of Witt vectors $W(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$ over $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. For any natural number j, we define the map $\iota_j : \mathbb{F}_q[x] \to W(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$ as $\iota_j(\sum_{u=0}^{d} a_u x^u) = \sum_{u=0}^{d}(0, \ldots, 0, a_u x^u, 0, \ldots)$, where the terms $a_u x^u$ are sent to the $(j+1)$-th coordinates. Now, we define $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_i(f_i(x)) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$. This infinite sum is valid because every coordinate is determined by finite terms.

For any commutative ring R, we can consider the ring of Witt vectors over it, written as $W(R)$. And, for any positive integer m, we have $W_m(R)$, the ring of truncated Witt vectors of m coordinates. There is an obvious truncation map $\tau_m : W(R) \to W_m(R)$, which sends Witt vector $(r_1, r_2, \ldots)$ to $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$. Now, let $R = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. We define $f^{(m)}(x) = \tau_m(f(x)) \in W_m(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$.

Now, the Artin-Schreier-Witt tower of curves is a sequence of affine curves defined by the following equations

$$C_m : \underline{y}_m^F - \underline{y}_m = f^{(m)}(x)$$

for any m, where $\underline{y}_m = (y_m^{(1)}, y_m^{(2)}, \ldots, y_m^{(m)})$ is a Witt vector of m coordinates, and $\cdot^F$ means raising each Witt coordinate to its p-th power. It's a tower of smooth affine curves $\ldots \to C_m \to C_{m-1} \to \ldots \to C_0 = \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. The inverse limit

C_∞ is defined by the equation $\underline{y}^F - \underline{y} = f(x)$, where $\underline{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$ is a Witt vector with infinite coordinates. We can build the function fields of C_m, C_∞ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and the Galois group of curves is defined to be the Galois group of the corresponding function fields. Using the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} W(\mathbb{F}_p) &\cong Gal(C_\infty/C_0) \\ \xi &\mapsto \sigma_\xi : \underline{y} \mapsto \underline{y} + \xi, \end{aligned}$$

it's clear that $Gal(C_\infty/C_0) \cong W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. The later isomorphism is defined as $(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_m, \ldots) \mapsto \hat{b_0} + \hat{b_1}p + \ldots + \hat{b_m}p^m + \ldots$, where $\hat{\cdot}$ is the Teichmüller lifting from $\mathbb{F}_p$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. $\hat{\cdot}$ also denote the general Teichmüller lifting from $\overline{F_p}$ to Z_p^{ur}. In the same way, we can construct the isomorphism

$$Gal(C_m/C_0) \cong W_m(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, this tower is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-tower of curves. Adding the infinite point to each curve, we get a tower of projective curves. The unique ramification point is the infinite point ∞.

Next, we review the Weil's conjectures(certainly, they were already proved). The zeta function of the affine curve C_m is

$$\zeta(C_m, s) = \exp(\sharp C_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \cdot \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s^k}{k}) = \frac{P(C_m, s)}{1 - qs},$$

where $P(C_m, s)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g(C_m)$, $g(C_m)$ being the genus of C_m. $P(C_m, s) = \prod(1 - \alpha_i s)$, where the roots α_i are all algebraic, with absolute values at infinite places $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the p'-adic ($p' \neq p$) absolute values 1. The remaining problem is to obtain the p-adic valuations, or equivalently, the q-adic Newton polygon of $\zeta(C_m, s)$.

It's well known that there is a decomposition of L-functions, such that

$$\zeta(C_m, s) = \zeta(C_0, s) \prod_{1 \leq m_\psi \leq m} L(\psi, s) = \frac{\prod\limits_{1 \leq m_\psi \leq m} L(\psi, s)}{1 - qs},$$

in which $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to Gal(C_m/C_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ is an additive character with finite kernel $p^{m_\psi}\mathbb{Z}$, and $L(\psi, s)$ is defined to be

$$\prod_{x \in |\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}|} \frac{1}{1 - \psi(Frob_x)s^{deg(x)}},$$

where $|\cdot|$ means sets of closed points of schemes, and $Frob_x \in Gal(C_{m_\psi}/C_0)$ is the Frobenius element associated to x such that $Frob_x \alpha \equiv \alpha^{q^{deg(x)}} (mod\ x)$, noting that x can be viewed as an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of one variable, $deg(x)$ is the degree of the polynomial if $x \neq 0$, and $deg(x) = 1$ if $x = 0$. Thus, the problem of determining q-adic Newton slope of $\zeta(C_m, s)$ reduces to that of $L(\psi, s)$.

In [3], the authors considered the simplest case $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d}(a_i x^i, 0, 0, \ldots)$, $p \nmid d$, and proved that for m large enough, the q-adic Newton slopes of $L(\psi, s)$ form a union of some arithmetic progressions(Theorem 1.2 of [3]). Now, I will prove a generalization of this result. In fact, we consider the general case $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \iota_i(f_i(x))$, assuming the degrees d_i have an upper bound.

Basically, we will follow the method in [3], with necessary variations. First, we give an upper bound and a lower bound of of the q-adic Newton polygons. Then, an analog of section 3 of [3] gives the result. Our main result is

Theorem 1 *Let $m_0 = \widetilde{m} + \lceil \log_p(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{a(\delta_1 - 1)^2}{8\delta_1}) \rceil$, where $\widetilde{m}$ is defined such that $\widetilde{m} - 1$ the first i such that $d_i = \max_{j \geq 0}\{d_j\}$, and $\delta_1 = \max_{0 \leq i \leq \widetilde{m}-1}\{p^{\widetilde{m}-i-1} d_i\}$. Let $0 < \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0 - \widetilde{m}} - 1} < 1$ denote the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\psi_0, s)$ for a finite character $\psi_0 : \mathbb{Z}/p^{m_0}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}$. Then, for every finite character $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/p^{m_\psi}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}$ such that $m_\psi \geq m_0$, the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\psi, s)$ has slopes*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\psi - m_0} - 1} \{\frac{i}{p^{m_\psi - m_0}}, \frac{\gamma_1 + i}{p^{m_\psi - m_0}}, ..., \frac{\gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0 - \widetilde{m}} - 1} + i}{p^{m_\psi - m_0}}\} - \{0\}.$$

In other words, the q-adic Newton slopes of $L(\psi, s)$ form a union of $\delta_1 p^{m_0 - \widetilde{m}}$ arithmetic progressions, with increment $p^{m_0 - m_\psi}$.

2 Upper bound of q-adic Newton polygon of L-functions

In this and the next section, we will assume that ψ is an additive character, and $m_\psi = m$ if there is no confusion.

We consider the L-function defined on the torus. Let

$$L^\star(\psi, s) = \prod_{x \in |\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \frac{1}{1 - \psi(Frob_x) s^{deg(x)}} = (1 - \psi(Frob_0)s) L(\psi, s).$$

Liu Chunlei and Wei Dasheng [1] had proved that for non-degenerated $f(x)$, $L^\star(\psi, s)$ was a polynomial of s which had degree

$$d = \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1}\{p^{m-i-1} d_i\},$$

and the endpoint of the q-adic Newton polygon is $(d, \frac{d-1}{2})$. Non-degenerated means

$$\sum_{d = p^{m-i-1} d_i} d_i a_{i,d_i}^{p^{m-i-1}} \neq 0.$$

Note that $(1 - \psi(Frob_0)s)$ is a factor, so we know that q-adic Newton polygon of $L^\star(\psi, s)$ has an initial segment of slope 0. Therefore, we have an upper bound of $L^\star(\psi, s)$: the polygonal line connecting $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(d, \frac{d-1}{2})$.

3 Exponential sums and T-adic L-functions

Now we try to get an upper bound of the Newton polygon, using the method of transforming through T-adic L-functions. First, we consider the L-function $L^\star(\psi, s)$ above. By [1], we have

$$L^\star(\psi, s) = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S^\star(\psi, k)\frac{s^k}{k}),$$

where

$$S^\star(\psi, k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times} \psi(Tr_{W_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)}(f^{(m)}(x)).$$

Note that, in the above expression, ψ is explained as a character $W_m(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}_p^\times$. From now on, we will write $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ to denote the integral ring of the unique unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of degree n.

Now using the isomorphism given in [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{m,k} : W_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) &\to \mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{q^k-1}]/(p^m) \\ (a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1}) &\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \widehat{a_i^{p^{-i}}} p^i (\mod p^m), \end{aligned}$$

where the $\hat{\cdot}$ means Teichmüller lifting, then, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$,

$$\nu_{m,k}(f^{(m)}(x)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p^i \sum_{u=0}^{d_i} \widehat{a_{iu}^{p^{-i}} x^{up^{-i}}} (\mod p^m).$$

Therefore,

$$\nu_{m,k}(Tr_{W_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)}(f^{(m)}(x))) = Tr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\widehat{f^{(m)}}(\hat{x})),$$

where

$$\widehat{f^{(m)}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p^i \sum_{u=0}^{d_i} \widehat{a_{iu}} x^u.$$

Write $\widehat{\sum_{u=0}^{d} b_u x^u} = \sum_{u=0}^{d} \hat{b_u} x^u$, for any $\sum_{u=0}^{d} b_u x^u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Then, we can rewrite that

$$\widehat{f^{(m)}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p^i \hat{f}_i(x).$$

We also define that

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \hat{f}_i(x).$$

$\widehat{f}(x)$ is a polynomial because $\max_{i\geq 0}\{d_i\}$ exist.

It's routine to check that $\nu_{m,k}|_{W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ is exactly the canonical isomorphism $W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)\cong\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, noting that $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}\cong\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore, ψ can be viewed as a character defined on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that $\psi(p^i\alpha)=1$ for any $i\geq m$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, so that for any m, we have

$$\psi(Tr_{W_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)}(f^{(m)}(x)))=\psi(Tr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\widehat{f^{(m)}}(\hat{x})))=\psi(Tr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}(\hat{x}))),$$

As a consequence, we have

$$S^\star(\psi,k)=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\psi(Tr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}(x))).$$

For the purpose of generating a lower bound of the q-adic Newton polygons, we introduce the T-adic L-function. The basic idea is an analog of [2]. For a positive integer k, we define the T-adic exponential sum of f over $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ as the sum

$$S_f(T,k)=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}(1+T)^{Tr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}(x))}=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}(1+T)^{p^iTr_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}_i(x))},$$

and the T-adic L-function is

$$L_f(T,s)=\exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}S_f(T,k)\frac{s^k}{k})\in 1+s\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]].$$

The relation between the T-adic L-functions and the original ones is quite easy to describe. In fact, let $\pi_\psi=\psi(1)-1$, we have

$$L_f(T,s)|_{T=\pi_\psi}=L^\star(\psi,s).$$

4 Dwork's trace formula

For the convenience of calculation, we do a variable change. Consider the Artin-Hasse exponential series $E(X)=\exp(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{X^{p^i}}{p^i})$. It has some standard properties. First, if seen as a power series in $\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$, $E(X)$ is a power series with p-adic integer coefficients, i.e., it's in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. In fact, $E(X)\in 1+X+X^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. Next, we describe another property of $E(X)$. Let $t=E(X)-1$, then it's routine to prove that $X\in t+t^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$.

Now, let $E(\pi)=1+T$, and $E(\pi_i)=(1+T)^{p^i}$, for any $i\geq 0$. We have $\pi_0=\pi$. By the properties of Artin-Hasse exponential series given above, we have $T\in\pi+\pi^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, and $\pi\in T+T^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. Let $T_i=(1+T)^{p^i}-1$, then $\pi_i\in T_i+T_i^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[T_i]]$. But, $T_i=E(\pi)^{p^i}-1$, therefore, $\pi_i\in p^i\pi+\pi^2\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$.

For any $h(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{d'} h_u x^u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and any indeterminate η, define

$$E_h(x,\eta) = \prod_{u=0}^{d'} E(\eta \hat{h_u} x^u).$$

Fix a generator σ of $Gal(Q_q/Q_p)$, and let it act on $Q_q[[\pi, x]]$, such that $\sigma(\pi) = \pi$, $\sigma(x) = x$. We have the Dwork's splitting formula(Lemma 4.3 of [2]):

Lemma 1 *If $x \in \mu_{q^k-1}$, $h(x) = \sum_{u=0}^{d'} h_u x^u \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, η is an indeterminate, then*

$$E(\eta)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{h}(x))} = \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1} E_h^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}, \eta).$$

Proof. The proof is given in section 4 of [2]. For the convenience of readers, we sketch it below.

First, we prove the lemma of commutativity of trace and norm. For $x \in \mu_{q^k-1}$, we have

$$E(\eta)^{\sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^j}} = \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{p^i}}{p^i} \sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^j}).$$

But $\sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^j} = \sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^{j+i}}$, therefore,

$$E(\eta)^{\sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^j}} = \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{p^i}}{p^i} \sum_{j=0}^{ak-1} x^{p^{j+i}}) = \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1} E(\eta x^{p^j}).$$

This is the commutative lemma.

Now we prove the theorem. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\eta)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{h}(x))} &= \prod_{u=0}^{d'} E(\eta)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\widehat{h_u x^u})} = \prod_{u=0}^{d'} \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1} E(\eta \widehat{h_u}^{p^j} x^{up^j}) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1} E_h^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.

Now, we treat the exponential sum. Define $E_f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{f_i}(x, \pi_i)$. First, we need to prove that this infinite product is convergent. Note that $\pi_i \in ((1+T)^{p^i} - 1) + ((1+T)^{p^i} - 1)^2 \mathbb{Z}_p[[(1+T)^{p^i} - 1]]$. But $(1+T)^{p^i} - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p^i} \binom{p^i}{j} T^j$, so

in the expansion of π_i as a power series of T, the coefficient of T^j term is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear combination of the numbers $\binom{p^i}{j_1}\cdots\binom{p^i}{j_l}$, where $j_1+\ldots+j_l=j$. Suppose $p^{i'}\leq j<p^{i'+1}\leq p^i$. Then, by the expression of binomial numbers, p-order of $\binom{p^i}{j_1}\cdots\binom{p^i}{j_l}$ is at least $i-i'$. Therefore, the p-order of the coefficient of T^j term of π_i is also at least $i-i'$. Denote $\max\limits_{i\geq 0}\{d_i\}$ by D, and define $a_{iu}=0$ if $u>d_i$, then we have $E_f(x)=\prod\limits_{u=0}^{D}\prod\limits_{i=0}^{\infty}E(\pi_i\widehat{a_{iu}}x^u)$. Expand the internal infinite product, we find that the coefficient of x^{vu} has the form $\sum\limits_{v_{i_1}+\ldots+v_{i_r}=v}c_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}\pi_{i_1}^{v_{i_1}}\cdots\pi_{i_r}^{v_{i_r}}$, where c's are coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_q$. By the result involving p-order of π_i proved above, this sum is convergent. Thus, the definition of $E_f(x)$ by infinite product is valid.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1+T)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{f}(x))} &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}(1+T)^{p^iTr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{f}_i(x))}\\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}E(\pi_i)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{f}_i(x))}=\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_{f_i}^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j},\pi_i)\\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}).\end{aligned}$$

As a conclusion, we have

Theorem 2 *If $x\in\mu_{q^k-1}$, then*

$$(1+T)^{Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(\hat{f}(x))}=\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}).$$

Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]$. It is a linear space over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]]$. Choose the monomial basis $\{1,x,x^2,\ldots x^u,\ldots\}$. Define the map $\varphi_p:\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]\to\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]$ such that $\varphi_p(\sum\limits_{u=0}^{\infty}b_ux^u)=\sum\limits_{u=0}^{\infty}b_{pu}x^u$, where $b_u\in\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]]$ for all $u\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, let $\varphi=\sigma^{-1}\circ\varphi_p\circ E_f(x)$, where $E_f(x)$ means multiplying by $E_f(x)$. Write that $E_f(x)=\sum\limits_{u=0}^{\infty}\alpha_ux^u$, where $\alpha_u\in\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]]$. Define $\alpha_u=0$ if $u<0$. Now, we have

$$\varphi(x^v)=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{pu-v})x^u,$$

therefore, we have the matrix $M=(\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{pu-v}))_{(u,v)}$ of φ over the basis $\{1,x,x^2,\ldots\}$. This matrix has only finitely many nonzero element in every row. Therefore, the powers of the matrix M make sense.

Now, we consider the ak-th power of φ. In fact, noting that $\varphi_p \circ G(x^p) = G(x) \circ \varphi_p$ for any $G(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^r(g) &= \varphi^{r-1}(\varphi_p(E_f^{\sigma^{-1}}(x)g^{\sigma^{-1}})) = \varphi^{r-2}(\varphi_p^2(E_f^{\sigma^{-1}}(x^p)E_f^{\sigma^{-2}}(x)g^{\sigma^{-2}})) \\ &= ... = \varphi_p^r(g^{\sigma^{-r}}\prod_{j=0}^{r-1}E_f^{\sigma^{j-r}}(x^{p^j})),\end{aligned}$$

for $r \geq 0$, $g \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]$. Let $r = ak$, we have

$$\varphi^{ak}(g) = \varphi_p^{ak}(g\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})),$$

therefore, we have

$$\varphi^{ak} = \varphi_p^{ak} \circ \prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}),$$

where $\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})$ means multiplying by $\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})$. This map has the matrix M^{ak} under the basis $\{1, x, x^2, ...\}$.

We also write that

$$E_{f_i}(x, \pi_i) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\alpha_u^{(i)}x^u,$$

and

$$\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{u,k}x^u,$$

where $\alpha_u^{(i)}, \beta_{u,k} \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]]$. Then, for the π-order of α_u, $\alpha_u^{(i)}$ and $\beta_{u,k}$, we have the following lemma, which will be used to prove the existence of some traces and determinants below.

Lemma 2 *We repeat that $D = \max_{i\geq 0}\{d_i\}$. We have*

$$ord_\pi(\alpha_u^{(i)}) \geq \frac{u}{D}, ord_\pi(\alpha_u) \geq \frac{u}{D},$$

and

$$ord_\pi(\beta_{u,k}) \geq \frac{u}{p^{ak-1}D}.$$

Proof. Note that $E_{f_i}(x, \pi_i) = \prod_{u=0}^{d_i}E(\pi_i\widehat{a_{iu}}x^u)$. For the expanded form of each factor $E(\pi_i\widehat{a_{iu}}x^u)$, the π_i-order of coefficient of x^v $(v = uu')$ exceeds or equals to $\frac{v}{d_i} \geq \frac{v}{D}$. Therefore, the product also has the same property. By the definition $\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{u,k}x^u$, we obtain the inequality for $\beta_{u,k}$ in the lemma.

Now, we are ready to do a deformation for the exponential sum $S_f(T, k)$, making it be trace of a matrix.

Theorem 3 *(Dwork's trace formula) The trace $Tr(M^{ak})$ of the matrix M^{ak} exists, and*

$$S_f(T,k)=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})=(q^k-1)Tr(M^{ak}).$$

Proof. Write $\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{u,k}x^u$, where $\beta_{u,k}\in\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]]$, noting that

$$\varphi^{ak}=\varphi_p^{ak}\circ\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j}),$$

we have

$$\varphi^{ak}(x^v)=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{q^ku-v,k}x^u.$$

Therefore, the matrix M^{ak} of φ^{ak} on the basis $\{1,x,x^2,...\}$ is $(\beta_{q^ku-v,k})_{(u,v)}$.

By lemma 2, the trace

$$Tr(M^{ak})=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{(q^k-1)u,k}$$

exists. But, $S_f(T,k)=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\prod_{j=0}^{ak-1}E_f^{\sigma^j}(x^{p^j})=\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{u,k}x^u$, so we have

$$S_f(T,k)=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}\beta_{u,k}x^u=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}(\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}x^u)\beta_{u,k}.$$

Using the fact that

$$\sum_{x\in\mu_{q^k-1}}x^u=\begin{cases}q^k-1, & (q^k-1)|u,\\ 0, & (q^k-1)\nmid u,\end{cases}$$

we get $S_f(T,k)=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\beta_{(q^k-1)u,k}$. Therefore, the theorem is proved.

Let

$$C_f(T,s)=\exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q^k-1}S_f(T,k)\frac{s^k}{k})=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}L(T,q^js).$$

Then,

$$L_f(T,s)=\frac{C_f(T,s)}{C_f(T,qs)}.$$

We have the following simple description of $C_f(T,s)$.

Theorem 4 *(Analytic trace formula)*

$$C_f(T,s) = \det(I - sM^a),$$

and the function $C_f(T,s)$ is T-adic analytic.

Proof. The existence of the determinant $\det(I - sM^a)$ is derived from the fact (lemma 2) that $ord_\pi(\beta_{u,k}) \geq \frac{u}{p^{ak-1}D}$. Using Dwork's trace formula and the well-known formula

$$\det(I - sA) = \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Tr(A^k)\frac{s^k}{k}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_f(T,s) &= \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^k - 1} S_f(T,k)\frac{s^k}{k}) \\ &= \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Tr(M^{ak})\frac{s^k}{k}) = \det(I - sM^a). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the fact that $ord_\pi(\beta_{u,k}) \geq \frac{u}{p^{ak-1}D}$ again, $C_f(T,s)$ is T-adic analytic.

5 Lower bound of (p^θ, T)-adic orders

In [2], lower bound of T-adic Newton polygon is considered. But in our case, when reducing to $L^\star(\psi, s)$, the contribute of p in the coefficients cannot be ignored. So, when $d_i > 0$ for some $i > 0$, we consider the (p^θ, T)-adic orders for some positive number θ instead.

Consider the p-th power of Artin-Hasse exponential series. If $E(Y) = E(X)^p$, then $E(Y) \in 1 + X\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, so $E(Y) \in 1 + X^p\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] + pX\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. But if $E(Y) = 1 + Z$, then $Z \in Y\mathbb{Z}_p[[Y]]$. Therefore, we have $Y \in X^p\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] + pX\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$.

Using this fact, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3 *Notations π and π_i defined as in section 4. Reivew that $\widetilde{m}$ is defined to be one plus the first i such that $d_i = D$. If $\widetilde{m} \geq 2$, let $\theta = \frac{1}{p^{\widetilde{m}-2}(p-1)}$. Define the ideal $R = (\pi)$ when $\widetilde{m} = 1$, $R = (p^\theta, \pi)$ when $\widetilde{m} \geq 2$. Then,*

$$ord_R(\pi_i) \geq p^i$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq \widetilde{m} - 1$, and,

$$ord_R(\pi_i) \geq p^{\widetilde{m}-1}$$

for any $i \geq \widetilde{m} - 1$.

Proof. In the $\widetilde{m}=1$ case, the lemma just says $ord_\pi(\pi)\geq 1$. Now we consider $\widetilde{m}\geq 2$ case. The inequality is clearly true for $i=0$, because $\pi_0=\pi$. Then we use induction. If we have proved that $ord_R(\pi_i)\geq p^i$ for some $0\leq i<\widetilde{m}-1$, then $\pi_{i+1}\in \pi_i^p\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi_i]]+p\pi_i\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi_i]]$. We have $ord_R(\pi_i)\geq p^i$, so $ord_R(\pi_i^p)\geq p^{i+1}$, and $ord_R(p\pi_i)=p^{\widetilde{m}-2}(p-1)+ord_R(\pi_i)\geq p^i(p-1)+p^i=p^{i+1}$. Hence $ord_R(\pi_{i+1})\geq p^{i+1}$. The first part of the lemma is proved. When $i\geq\widetilde{m}-1$, we have $ord_R(\pi_{i+1})\geq ord_R(\pi_i)$. This implies the second part.

Now, we study the R-order of α_u.

Theorem 5 *Let $\widetilde{m}$ and R be defined as in the above lemma. Let $\delta=\max\limits_{i\geq 0}\{\frac{d_i}{p^i}\}$, then*

$$ord_R(\alpha_u)\geq\frac{u}{\delta}$$

for all nonnegative integer u.

Proof. Reivew that $E_{f_i}(x,\pi_i)=\prod\limits_{u=0}^{d_i}E(\pi_i\widehat{a_{iu}}x^u)$, we have

$$ord_{\pi_i}(\alpha_u^{(i)})\geq\frac{u}{d_i}$$

for any $i\geq 0$. By the lemma above, we have $ord_R(\pi_i)\geq p^i$ for $0\leq i\leq\widetilde{m}-1$, and $ord_R(\pi_i)\geq p^{\widetilde{m}-1}$ for $i\geq\widetilde{m}-1$. Therefore,

$$ord_R(\alpha_u^{(i)})\geq\frac{u}{\frac{d_i}{p^i}}$$

for $0\leq i\leq\widetilde{m}-1$, and

$$ord_R(\alpha_u^{(i)})\geq\frac{u}{\frac{d_i}{p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}$$

for $i\geq\widetilde{m}-1$. But $E_f(x)=\prod\limits_{i=0}^{\infty}E_{f_i}(x,\pi_i)$. Hence,

$$ord_R(\alpha_u)\geq\frac{u}{\delta}.$$

Now, we produce a lower bound (called Hodge bound) for the R-orders, or equivalently (p^θ,T)-orders of $C_f(T,s)$.

Theorem 6 *Expand $C_f(T,s)$ to a power series of s. Then, the R-order of the coefficient of s^n is greater than or equal to $\frac{a(p-1)n(n-1)}{2\delta}$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{Q}_q$ is a linear space of dimension a over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and there exist an integral basis. Leaving π and s fixed, we can viewed $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[s]]$ as a module over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][[s]]$. Consider the norm map of $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[s]]$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][[s]]$. For $\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[s]]$, we define the norm to be the determinant of the multiplying by κ map, viewed as a linear map on $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[s]]$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][[s]]$.

Note that $\mathbb{Q}_q$ can be obtained by adding a (p^a-1)-th root of unity to $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so there is a normal integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, say $\{\xi_0,...,\xi_{a-1}\}$. Therefore,

$\{\xi_i x^u | 0 \leq i \leq a-1, u \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is a basis of the module $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi]][[x]]$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$. Arrange this basis in the lexicographical order $\{\xi_0, ..., \xi_{a-1}, \xi_0 x, ...\xi_{a-1}x, ...\}$, define N to be the matrix of the map φ under this ordered basis. Review that

$$\varphi(x^v) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-1}(\alpha_{pu-v}) x^u,$$

we have

$$\varphi(\xi_j x^v) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-1}(\xi_j \alpha_{pu-v}) x^u.$$

Let

$$\sigma^{-1}(\xi_j \alpha_{pu-v}) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)} \xi_i,$$

where $\alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, we have

$$\varphi(\xi_j x^v) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)} \xi_i x^u.$$

Hence, we have $N = (\alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)})_{0 \leq i,j \leq a-1, u,v \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$. By definition, if $pu < v$, then $\alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)} = 0$. Therefore, powers of N make sense, and the matrix of φ^r is N^r.

Now, we have

$$Norm(\det(I - s^a M^a)) = \det(I - s^a N^a) = \prod_{\zeta^a = 1} \det(I - \zeta s N),$$

thus, the R-orders of s-coefficients of $\det(I - s^a M^a)$ coincide with $\det(I - sN)$.

Note that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_q$, $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \omega_i \xi_i$, if $ord_p(\omega) \geq \lambda$, the same will be true for every ω_i. By the theorem 5 and the equation

$$\sigma^{-1}(\xi_j \alpha_{pu-v}) = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)} \xi_i,$$

we have

$$ord_R(\alpha_{(i,u),(j,v)}) \geq \frac{pu - v}{\delta}.$$

Hence, the R-order of coefficient of s^{an} of $\det(I - sN)$, or $\det(I - s^a M^a)$, is greater than or equal to $\frac{a(p-1)n(n-1)}{2\delta}$. But we have $C_f(T, s) = \det(I - sM^a)$, (theorem 4), so the R-order of coefficients of s^n of $C_f(T, s)$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{a(p-1)n(n-1)}{2\delta}$. The proof is completed.

6 Periodicity of Newton polygons

In this section, we prove the main theorem. Review that $\delta = \max_{i\geq 0}\{\frac{d_i}{p^i}\}$. Let $\widetilde{m}$ and R be defined to be the same as the definition in the last section. Choose a character $\psi_1 : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^{\widetilde{m}}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}$. Consider $L^{\star}(\psi_1, s)$. By the facts stated in section 2, the degree of $L^{\star}(\psi_1, s)$ is

$$\delta_1 = \max_{0\leq i\leq \widetilde{m}-1}\{p^{\widetilde{m}-i-1}d_i\} = p^{\widetilde{m}-1}\delta,$$

and the q-adic Newton polygon of $L^{\star}(\psi_1, s)$ has the broken line

$$\{(0,0),(1,0),(\delta_1,\frac{\delta_1-1}{2})\}$$

as an upper bound, with the same endpoint $(\delta_1, \frac{\delta_1-1}{2})$, assuming that $f(x)$ is non-degenerated at level $\widetilde{m}$. We have $Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(x^p) = Tr_{Q_{q^k}/Q_p}(x)$, therefore, we can reduce the degenerated case to the non-degenerated case, with the degree of polynomials not increasing. Hence this upper bound is also valid for degenerated case. This broken line has a segment of length 1, with slope 0, and a segment of length (horizontal coordinate) $\delta_1 - 1$, with slope $\frac{1}{2}$. Now, let

$$C^{\star}(\psi_1, s) = C_f(T, s)|_{T=\pi_{\psi_1}} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L^{\star}(\psi_1, q^j s).$$

By the upper bound of $L^{\star}(\psi_1, s)$ above, the q-adic Newton polygon of $C^{\star}(\psi_1, s)$ has an upper bound of slopes

$$0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, ..., n-1, \frac{2n-1}{2}, ...$$

with multiplicity

$$1, \delta_1 - 1, 1, \delta_1 - 1, ..., 1, \delta_1 - 1, ...$$

respectively. Therefore, this upper bound is the broken line

$$\begin{aligned}\{(0,0),(1,0),(\delta_1,\frac{\delta_1-1}{2}),(\delta_1+1,\frac{\delta_1+1}{2}),...,\\ (n\delta_1,\frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2}),(n\delta_1+1,\frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2}),...\}.\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now we consider the T-adic functions. Let $C_f(T, s) = 1 + b_{f,1}(T)s + b_{f,2}(T)s^2 + ... + b_{f,n}(T)s^n + ...$, where $b_{f,n}(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ for all n. Theorem 6 give the inequality

$$ord_R(b_{f,n}(T)) \geq \frac{a(p-1)n(n-1)}{2\delta}.$$

As $ord_T(\pi) = 1$, R-order coincides with (p^{θ}, T)-order exactly. Now, we set $T = \pi_{\psi_1} = \psi_1(1) - 1$. It is a standard fact that $ord_p(\pi_{\psi_1}) = \frac{1}{p^{\widetilde{m}-1}(p-1)}$. As a consequence,

$$ord_p(b_{f,n}(\pi_{\psi_1})) \geq \frac{an(n-1)}{2\delta_1},$$

hence

$$ord_q(b_{f,n}(\pi_{\psi_1})) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2\delta_1}.$$

This gives

$$\{(0,0),(1,0),(2,\frac{1}{\delta_1}),...,(n,\frac{n(n-1)}{2\delta_1}),...\} \tag{2}$$

as a lower bound of q-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi_1, s)$. Note that $\frac{n\delta_1(n\delta_1-1)}{2\delta_1} = \frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2}$ and $\frac{(n\delta_1+1)((n\delta_1+1)-1)}{2\delta_1} = \frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2}$, the upper bound and lower bound coincide in segments between horizontal coordinates $n\delta_1$ and $n\delta_1+1$ for all n. Consider an arbitrary character $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ such that $m \geq \widetilde{m}$. By the same method, we find that (2) is also a lower bound of the $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$.

Now, we are ready to go back to T-adic functions, and prove some theorems about the order of coefficients of it. We write

$$b_{f,n}(T) = \sum_{j \geq \lambda_n} b_{f,n}^{(j)} T^j$$

where λ_n is the smallest number j such that the coefficient of T^j in the expansion of $b_{f,n}(T)$ is nonzero.

Theorem 7 *For an integer $k \equiv 0, 1(\mod \delta_1)$, the R-order of $b_{f,k}(T)$ is exactly $\Lambda_k = \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}$, Λ_k is an integer, and $b_{f,k}^{(\Lambda_k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*

Proof. We know the q-adic Newton polygon for $C^\star(\psi_1, s)$ passes through

$$(n\delta_1, \frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2}), (n\delta_1+1, \frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2})$$

for any n. By the lower bound (2), the slope of the preceding segment must be less than or equal to $n - \frac{1}{\delta_1}$, and the slope of the following segment must be greater than or equal to $n + \frac{1}{\delta_1}$. Thus, $(n\delta_1, \frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2})$ and $(n\delta_1+1, \frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2})$ must be vertices of the q-adic Newton polygon. Therefore, $ord_q(b_{f,k}(\pi_{\psi_1})) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2\delta_1}$ for all $k \equiv 0, 1(\mod \delta_1)$. But $ord_q(p^\theta) = \frac{\theta}{a} = \frac{1}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-2}}$ for $\widetilde{m} \geq 2$, $ord_q(\pi_{\psi_1}) = \frac{1}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$ for any case, so we have

$$ord_q(b_{f,k}(\pi_{\psi_1})) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2\delta_1} \geq \frac{1}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} ord_R(b_{f,k}(T)),$$

therefore,

$$ord_R(b_{f,k}(T)) \leq \frac{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}k(k-1)}{2\delta_1} = \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}.$$

By theorem 6, the equality holds. If the equality is attached by a monomial $b_{f,k}^{(j)}T^j$ such that $ord_p(b_{f,k}^{(j)}) > 0$, then $ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)}\pi_{\psi_1}^j) > \frac{k(k-1)}{2\delta_1}$. So, there must exist a monomial attaching the equality only by T. The theorem follows.

Theorem 8 *Let $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ be a character, where $m \geq \widetilde{m}$. Then, the $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$ contains the line segments connecting $(n\delta_1, \frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2}), (n\delta_1+1, \frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2})$ for all n. Therefore, (1) is also an upper bound for $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$.*

Proof. Consider $k \equiv 0, 1(\mod \delta_1)$. By theorem 7, we have $ord_R(b_{f,k}^{(j)} T^j) \geq \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}$ for any $j \geq \lambda_k$, and equality holds for some j, including $j = \Lambda_k = \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}$. For $\widetilde{m} = 1$, we have $\lambda_k = \Lambda_k$, therefore,

$$ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,k}(\pi_\psi)) = \frac{\Lambda_k}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2\delta_1}.$$

Now we consider the $\widetilde{m} \geq 2$ case. If there is some $j \neq \Lambda_k$ such that the equality holds, then $ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)}) > 0$. Note that

$$ord_R(b_{f,k}^{(j)} T^j) = \frac{a}{\theta} ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)}) + j \geq \Lambda_k,$$

and

$$ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)} {\pi_\psi}^j) = ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)}) + ord_q(\pi_\psi) j,$$

we deduce that

$$ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)} {\pi_\psi}^j) = \frac{\theta}{a} ord_R(b_{f,k}^{(j)} T^j) - (\frac{\theta}{a} - ord_q(\pi_\psi)) j.$$

Now $\frac{\theta}{a} = \frac{1}{ap^{\widetilde{m}-2}(p-1)} > ord_q(\pi_\psi) = \frac{1}{ap^{m-1}(p-1)}$. For $j > \Lambda_k$, we have

$$ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)} {\pi_\psi}^j) = ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)}) + ord_q(\pi_\psi) j > ord_q(\pi_\psi) \Lambda_k.$$

For $\lambda_k \leq j \leq \Lambda_k$, we have

$$ord_q(b_{f,k}^{(j)} {\pi_\psi}^j) \geq \frac{\theta}{a} \Lambda_k - (\frac{\theta}{a} - ord_q(\pi_\psi)) j \geq \Lambda_k ord_q(\pi_\psi),$$

and all equalities hold only when $j = \Lambda_k$. Therefore, we have

$$ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,k}(\pi_\psi)) = \frac{1}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} \Lambda_k = \frac{k(k-1)}{2\delta_1}.$$

In any case, (1) is an upper bound of $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$, which coincides with the lower bound (2) periodically on the line segments connecting $(n\delta_1, \frac{n(n\delta_1-1)}{2}), (n\delta_1+1, \frac{n(n\delta_1+1)}{2})$ for all n. The theorem follows.

Theorem 9 *For a finite character $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/p^{m_\psi}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ with $m_\psi \geq \widetilde{m} + \log_p(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{a(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1})$, the $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$ is independent of the character ψ.*

Proof. We have proved that the $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi,s)$ has an upper bound (1) and a lower bound (2). These two broken lines coincides periodically, at segments connecting points with horizontal coordinates $n\delta_1$ and $n\delta_1+1$. It's routine to calculate that the difference between these two lines is a periodic function, having the maximal value not exceeding $\frac{(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1}$. For $n \not\equiv 0,1(\mod \delta_1)$, let λ'_n be the first integer j such that $b_{f,n}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. If such j does not exist, define $\lambda'_n=\infty$. By definition, the $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi,s)$ is the convex hull of points $(n, ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}(\pi_\psi)))$ for all $n\geq 0$. Assume $\widetilde{m}\geq 2$. By theorem 6, we have

$$ord_R(b_{f,n}^{(j)}T^j)=p^{\widetilde{m}-2}(p-1)ord_p(b_{f,n}^{(j)})+j \geq \frac{a(p-1)n(n-1)}{2\delta},$$

dividing by $a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}$, the inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{ap}ord_p(b_{f,n}^{(j)})+\frac{j}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2\delta_1}. \tag{3}$$

Note that the inequality $m_\psi \geq \widetilde{m}+\log_p(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{a(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1})$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{a}(p^{m_\psi-\widetilde{m}}-\frac{1}{p}) \geq \frac{(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}^{(j)}\pi_\psi^j) &= \frac{ord_p(b_{f,n}^{(j)})}{ord_p(\pi_\psi)a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}+\frac{j}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} \\ &= \frac{p^{m_\psi-\widetilde{m}}}{a}ord_p(b_{f,n}^{(j)})+\frac{j}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2\delta_1}+\frac{1}{a}(p^{m_\psi-\widetilde{m}}-\frac{1}{p})ord_p(b_{f,n}^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $b_{f,n}^{(j)} \notin \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, then we have

$$ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}^{(j)}\pi_\psi^j) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2\delta_1}+\frac{(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1},$$

greater than or equal to the upper bound (1).

If $\widetilde{m}=1$, then we trash the first term of the left side of (3), and repeat the calculation. We also get the same result.

Thus, if the point $(n, \frac{\lambda'_n}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}})$ is lower than the upper bound line (1), then

$$ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}(\pi_\psi))=\frac{\lambda'_n}{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}.$$

Conversely, if $(n, ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}(\pi_\psi))$ is lower than (1), then we also have the same equality. Hence the set of points

$$\{(n, ord_{\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}}(b_{f,n}(\pi_\psi)))|n\geq 0, \textit{and the point is below to } (1)\}$$

is fixed, because λ'_n is clearly independent of ψ. The theorem follows from the convexity.

Now, we prove the main theorem. Let $m_0 = \widetilde{m} + \lceil \log_p(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{a(\delta_1-1)^2}{8\delta_1}) \rceil$, and ψ_0 is a finite character $\psi_0 : \mathbb{Z}/p^{m_0}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$. Let $0 < \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1} < 1$ denote the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of the L-function $L(\psi_0, s)$. Then $0, \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1}$ are the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $L^\star(\psi_0, s)$, and hence

$$\bigcup_{i\geq 0}\{i, \gamma_1 + i, ..., \gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1} + i\}$$

are the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi_0, s)$. Since $ord_q(\pi_{\psi_0}) = \frac{1}{a(p-1)p^{m_0-1}}$, the $\pi_{\psi_0}^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi_0, s)$ is rescaled to

$$\bigcup_{i\geq 0}\{p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}i, p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}(\gamma_1 + i), ..., p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}(\gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1} + i)\}. \tag{4}$$

By theorem 9, for any finite character $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/p^{m_\psi}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ such that $m_\psi \geq m_0$, (4) is also the slopes of $\pi_\psi^{a(p-1)p^{\widetilde{m}-1}}$-adic Newton polygon of $C^\star(\psi, s)$. By rescaling again, the q-adic Newton slopes of $C^\star(\psi, s)$ is

$$\bigcup_{i\geq 0}\{\frac{i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}, \frac{\gamma_1 + i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}, ..., \frac{\gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1} + i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}\}.$$

Use the relation

$$L(\psi, s) = \frac{1}{1 - \psi(Frob_0)s}\frac{C^\star(\psi, s)}{C^\star(\psi, qs)},$$

we find that the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\psi, s)$ has slopes

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\psi-m_0}-1}\{\frac{i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}, \frac{\gamma_1 + i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}, ..., \frac{\gamma_{\delta_1 p^{m_0-\widetilde{m}}-1} + i}{p^{m_\psi-m_0}}\} - \{0\}.$$

The theorem is proved.

References

[1] Chunlei Liu, Dasheng Wei, The L-function of Witt coverings, Mathematische Zeitschrift **255**(2007), 95-115.

[2] Chunlei Liu, Daqing Wan, T-adic exponential sums over finite fields, Algebra & Number Theory(2009), no.5, 489-509.

[3] Christopher Davis, Daqing Wan, Liang Xiao(2013), Newton slopes for Artin-Schrier-Witt towers, preprint, arXiv:1310.5311.